

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/575,628	ZAL ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Maryam Monshipouri	1656	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Maryam Monshipouri.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Matson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 December 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 first

Claims discussed:

all claims 31-59

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

On 12/21/09 the examiner contacted applicant to request reciting structure (i.e. specific SEQ ID NO:'s) into base claims 31, 38-43, 46-54 and their dependent claims in order to render the claims allowable. The examiner also requested deleting "75% homology" from claims 39-43, 53 and "60% homology " from claims 46-51, 54, in order to overcome 112 first issues. In response Mr. Matson indicated that he will contact the inventors and upon their approval will file an amendment to the claims in order to push the case towards allowance. On 12/23/09 Mr. Voeller, a colleague of Mr. Matson filed said amendment..